

Critical Temperature of the Ising Ferromagnet on Proximity Graphs derived from Square Lattices by Site Displacement

H. Schawe, C. Norrenbrock and A.K. Hartmann Institut für Physik, Universität Oldenburg, Germany



 σ

 Δx

Proximity Graphs

- Relative Neighborhood graph (RNG) [1]
 - nodes i, j connected if there is no other node nearer to both
- Gabriel graph (GG) [2]
 - supergraph of RNG
 - nodes i, j connected if circle with diameter ijis empty
- on a square lattice both reduce to nearest neighbor relationships

Critical Temperature T_c

Binder cumulant [3]

$$g = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\langle m^4 \rangle}{3 \langle m^2 \rangle^2} \right)$$

- system sizes $N = L^2$ • $L \in \{16, 32, 64, 128\}$
- g for different N cross at T_c

$T_c(\sigma)$

- T_c decreases with increasing displacement
- approaches limit of random proximity graphs for big σ
- correlated with average degree K, i.e. number of edges per node
- on GG slightest deviation from square lattice causes many new edges leading to the behavior at $\sigma \approx 0$





- $T_{c,RNG} \leq T_{c,GG}$ while RNG \subseteq GG, similar to containment theorem for percolation [5]
- approximately power law between K and T_c
- distinct exponents for both graph types
- good fit at falling T_c
- elsewhere other influences become significant, e.g. the coupling function $J_{ij}(d_{ij})$



σ















Node Displacement σ

• random displacement of square lattice nodes

Model

- Ising model for ferromagnets in two dimensions
- Hamiltonian: $H = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} S_i S_j, S_i = \pm 1$
- coupling J_{ij} is weaker for nodes i, j which have a greater distance d_{ij}
 - $J_{ii} = e^{\alpha(1-d_{ij})}, \ \alpha = 0.5$ according to [4]
- \bullet neighborhood relationship $\langle i,j\rangle$ determined by the edges of the RNG respectively the GG
- periodic boundary conditions
- phase transition from ferromagnetic to paramagnetic at the critical Temperature T_c
- for $\sigma = 0$ this model is equivalent to a square lattice with $J_{ij} = 1$
- $\sigma \gg 1$: node positions are uniformly distributed

Universality

- finite size scaling analysis [6] yields same critical exponents as standard Ising ferromagnet
- q versus two-point finite-size correlation function ξ confirms same universality [7]





Bibliography

- [1] G.T. Toussaint, Pattern Recognition, 12:261-268, 1980.
- [2] K. Ruben Gabriel, Robert R. Sokal, Systematic Biology, 18(3):259-278, 1969.
- [3] Kurt Binder, Phys. Rev. Lett., 47:693-696, Aug 1981.
- F.W.S Lima, J.E Moreira, J.S Andrade Jr., and U.M.S Costa, Physica A: Statistical [4] Mechanics and its Applications, 283(1-2):100 - 106, 2000.
- [5] Michael E. Fisher. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 2(4):620-627, 1961.
- [6] Oliver Melchert. arXiv:0910.5403 [physics.comp-ph]
- [7] B. Ahrens, J. Xiao, A.K. Hartmann, H.G. Katzgraber, Phys. Rev. B 88, 174408 (2013)



2

3